



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,466	02/10/2004	Shigetaka Kasuga	2004_0104A	9129
513	7590	11/28/2007	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			HERNANDEZ, NELSON D	
2033 K STREET N. W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			2622	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			MAIL DATE	
			11/28/2007	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,466	KASUGA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nelson D. Hernández	2622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 September 2007.  
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-25 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) 13-19 is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Specification***

1. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments made to the Specification filed on September 4, 2007. The amendments are acceptable.

### ***Drawings***

2. Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Response to Amendment***

3. The Examiner acknowledges the amended claims filed on September 4, 2007. **Claims 1-11 and 13-23 have been amended. Claim 12 has been canceled. Claims 24 and 25 have been newly added.**

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to **independent claims 1, 22 and 23** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. **Claims 1-3, 9 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koizumi et al., US Patent 7,015,964 B1.**

**Regarding claim 1,** Koizumi et al. discloses a solid-state image sensing apparatus (See fig. 1, 9 and 10) for performing photoelectric conversion of incident light, the solid-state image sensing apparatus comprising: a photosensitive unit (See fig. 10) in which a plurality of photoelectric conversion circuits (Figs. 1, 9: PX and 10: PX1, PX2, PX3, PX4) are arranged one-dimensionally or two-dimensionally (See fig. 10), each of the photoelectric conversion circuits corresponding to one of a plurality of pixels, and each of the photoelectric conversion circuits including a photodiode (Figs. 1: PD, 9: PD) for accumulating electric charge by performing the photoelectric conversion of incident light and an output circuit for outputting the accumulated electric charge as an electric

signal (Col. 4, line 47 – col. 5, line 2); an electric charge simultaneous removal unit (Fig. 1: SCC) operable to simultaneously remove the accumulated electric charge in each of the photodiodes disposed in a predetermined region to be read out in the photosensitive unit (Col. 7, lines 3-47); and an electric charge accumulation unit (charge is accumulated in photodiode PD by operation of the SCC) operable to accumulate electric charge in each of the photodiodes disposed in the region to be read out during a predetermined time after the accumulated electric charge in each of the photodiodes disposed in the region to be read out is removed (Col. 5, lines 25-43; col. 7, lines 3-64), wherein the output circuit in each of the photoelectric conversion circuits includes: a first transistor (Fig. 1: Q1) for receiving a readout signal from the electric charge simultaneous removal unit (Fig. 1: SCC) and which allows the electric charge accumulated in the photodiode to pass therethrough in response to activation of the readout signal (Col. 4, lines 47-61; col. 5, line 25 – col. 6, line 11); an electric charge retention unit (Fig. 1: FD) operable to receive the electric charge that passes through the first transistor and to retain the electric charge (col. 5, line 25 – col. 6, line 11; col. 7, lines 3-67); a second transistor (Fig. 1; Q3) which allows the electric signal to pass therethrough, the electric signal being based on a value of voltage determined by the electric charge retained by the electric charge retention unit (Col. 4, lines 47-61; col. 5, line 21 – col. 6, line 11; col. 7, lines 3-67); and a reset circuit (Fig. 1: Q2) for receiving a reset signal from the electric charge simultaneous removal unit (Fig. 1: SCC) and for resetting an amount of electric charge accumulated in the electric charge retention unit in response to activation of the reset signal (Col. 4, lines 47-61; col. 5, line 21 – col. 6,

line 11; col. 7, lines 3-67), and wherein the electric charge simultaneous removal unit simultaneously outputs the readout signal and the reset signal to all of the photoelectric conversion circuits disposed in the region to be read out (the reset transistor Q2 is turned on to reset the floating diffusion 103 and at the same time, the transfer switch Q1 is also turned on to reset the photodiode; col. 7, lines 13-47).

**Regarding claim 2**, Koizumi et al. discloses that the electric charge accumulation unit (SCC) generates an electric accumulation start signal to start electric charge accumulation to for each of the photodiodes disposed in the region to be read out (Col. 4, lines 47-61; col. 5, line 21 – col. 6, line 11; col. 7, lines 3-67).

**Regarding claim 3**, Koizumi et al. discloses that the electric charge accumulation unit generates an electric accumulation end signal and ends electric charge accumulation for each of the photodiodes disposed in the region to be read out in response to activation of the electric charge accumulation end signal (col. 5, line 21 – col. 6, line 11).

**Regarding claim 9**, Koizumi et al. discloses the electric charge simultaneous removal unit (Fig. 1: SCC) simultaneously outputs the reset signal to all of the photoelectric conversion circuits laid out disposed in the region to be read out (Col. 4, lines 47-61; col. 5, line 21 – col. 6, line 11; col. 7, lines 3-67).

**Regarding claim 23**, claim 23 is a method claim of the apparatus in claim 1. Limitations have been discussed and analyzed with respect to claim 1.

**Regarding claim 24**, Koizumi et al. discloses that the electric charge simultaneous removal unit aligns a pulse of the reset signal and a pulse of the readout

signal, and simultaneously outputs the readout signal and the reset signal to all of the photoelectric conversion circuits disposed in the region to be read out (Col. 3, lines 1-20; col. 4, lines 47-61; col. 5, line 21 – col. 6, line 11; col. 7, lines 3-67).

**Regarding claim 25**, Koizumi et al. discloses that the electric charge simultaneous removal unit simultaneously outputs the readout signal and the reset signal to all of the photoelectric conversion circuits disposed in the region to be read out, the reset signal having a width of a pulse that is wider than a width of a pulse of the readout signal (Col. 3, lines 1-20; col. 4, lines 47-61; col. 5, line 21 – col. 6, line 11; col. 7, lines 3-67; See also figs. 2 and 8).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. **Claims 4-8, 10 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koizumi et al., US Patent 7,015,964 B1 and further in view of Satoshi et al., JP 2000-078484 A.**

**Regarding claim 4**, Koizumi et al. discloses an incident light control unit operable to control incidence of light into the photosensitive unit, wherein the electric charge accumulation unit ends electric charge accumulation to each of the photodiode

laid out photodiodes disposed in the region to be read out using the incident light control unit by blocking out incidence of light into the photosensitive unit.

However, Satoshi et al. discloses a solid-state image sensing apparatus (Fig. 1) that performs photoelectric conversion of incident light, comprising: a photosensitive unit (Fig. 1: 3) in which a plurality of photoelectric conversion circuits is laid out one-dimensionally or two-dimensionally (English Translation, Page 3, ¶ 0008), each of said photoelectric conversion circuits corresponding to a pixel and including a photodiode that accumulates electric charge by performing the photoelectric conversion of incident light and an output circuit that outputs the accumulated electric charge as an electric signal (See fig. 2; English Translation, page 3, ¶ 0009); an electric charge simultaneous removal unit (Fig. 1: 8) operable to simultaneously remove the accumulated electric charge in the photodiodes laid out in a predetermined region to be read out in the photosensitive unit. Satoshi et al. also teaches an incident light control unit (Satoshi et al., CPU 8 controls the mechanical shutter 2; see fig. 1) positioned between the photosensitive unit and the object to be photographed and is operable to control incidence of light into the photosensitive unit, wherein the electric charge accumulation unit ends electric charge accumulation to the photodiode laid out in the region to be read out using the incident light control unit by blocking out incidence of light into the photosensitive unit (Satoshi et al., English Translation, page 3, ¶ 0008-0009; page 4, ¶ 0011 – page 5, ¶ 0016).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Koizumi et al. in view of Satoshi et al. as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify Koizumi et al. by having an incident light control unit operable to control incidence of light into the photosensitive unit, wherein the electric charge accumulation unit ends electric charge accumulation to each of the photodiode laid out photodiodes disposed in the region to be read out using the incident light control unit by blocking out incidence of light into the photosensitive unit. The motivation to do so would have been to allow the solid state image sensing device to expose all the photodiodes to start collecting image signal and to terminate exposure to all photodiodes globally thus better performing exposure control at a high speed on real time.

**Regarding claim 5**, the combined teaching of Koizumi et al. in view of Satoshi et al. as discussed and analyzed in claim 4 teaches an incident light control unit (Satoshi et al., CPU 8 controls the mechanical shutter 2; see fig. 1) operable to control incidence of light into the photosensitive unit, wherein the electric charge accumulation unit starts incidence of light to the photosensitive unit using the incident light control unit after the electric charge simultaneous removal unit simultaneously removes the accumulated electric charge for each the photodiodes disposed in the region to be read out (After the reset signal is made, the exposure starts by opening a shutter (Satoshi et al., fig. 1: 2) to have the pixels accumulate charge signal and after the exposure signal is made, a signal to close a mechanical shutter (Satoshi et al., fig. 1: 2) to stop accumulating image signal and the accumulated signal is read; Satoshi et al., English Translation, page 4, ¶ 0011 – page 5, ¶ 0016).

**Regarding claim 6**, limitations can be found in claim 4.

**Regarding claim 7**, limitations can be found in claim 4.

**Regarding claim 8,** the combined teaching of Koizumi et al. in view of Satoshi et al. fails to teach that the incident light control unit includes: a liquid crystal shutter disposed between the photosensitive unit and an object to be photographed; and a liquid crystal shutter control unit operable to apply a predetermined voltage to the liquid crystal shutter to control a penetration of light.

However, Official Notice is taken that the use of liquid crystal shutter set up between the photosensitive unit and an object to be photographed controlled by applying a predetermined voltage to the liquid crystal shutter to control a penetration of light is well known in the art and one of an ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to change the mechanical shutter in Satoshi et al. with a liquid crystal shutter with the motivation of reducing the power drain of the mechanical shutter and also to reduce the complexity and size of the imaging device.

**Regarding claim 10,** the combined teaching of Koizumi et al. in view of Satoshi et al. as discussed and analyzed in claim 4 teaches the electric charge simultaneous removal unit generates a gate signal (A gate signal is inherent to activate the reset transistor 24 in Satoshi et al.) and includes a reset signal passage switch (Fig. 2: 19, OR circuit used to activate or deactivate the reset signal) that simultaneously outputs the reset signal to all of the photoelectric conversion circuits in response to activation of the gate signal (Satoshi et al., English Translation, page 3, ¶ 0008-0009; page 4, ¶ 0011 – page 5, ¶ 0016).

**Regarding claim 22,** limitations have been discussed and analyzed with respect to claims 1 and 4. Therefore, grounds for rejecting claims 1 and 4 apply here.

Furthermore, the solid state imaging devices in Koizumi et al. and Satoshi et al. are to be used in a camera (Koizumi et al., col. 1, lines 6-11; col. 13, lines 19-24; see also Satoshi et al., fig. 1; English Translation, page 3, ¶ 0008).

**9. Claims 11, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koizumi et al., US Patent 7,015,964 B1 in view of Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).**

Regarding claim 11, Koizumi et al. does not explicitly disclose that the electric charge simultaneous removal unit includes: a switch transistor that serves as a switch; and a capacitor that disposed between a gate and a source or a drain of the switch transistor, and wherein, when the capacitor is charged, the reset signal is inputted from the drain of the switch transistor and is outputted simultaneously to all of the photoelectric conversion circuits from the source.

However, AAPA discloses a solid-state image sensing apparatus (Fig. 1) that performs photoelectric conversion of incident light, comprising: a photosensitive unit (See fig. 1) in which a plurality of photoelectric conversion circuits (Fig. 1: 112) is laid out one-dimensionally or two-dimensionally, each of said photoelectric conversion circuits corresponding to a pixel and including a photodiode that accumulates electric charge by performing the photoelectric conversion of incident light and an output circuit that outputs the accumulated electric charge as an electric signal; an electric charge removal unit (timing generation unit) operable to remove the accumulated electric charge in the photodiodes laid out in a predetermined region to be read out in the

photosensitive unit; and an electric charge accumulation unit (Fig. 2: 128) operable to accumulate electric charge in the photodiode laid out in the region to be read out during a predetermined time after the accumulated electric charge in the photodiode that is laid out in the region is removed. AAPA also discloses that the electric charge simultaneous removal unit includes: a switch transistor (AAPA; fig. 3: 148) that serves as a switch; and a capacitor (AAPA, fig. 3: 146) that is set up between a gate and a source or a drain of the switch transistor, and when the capacitor is charged, the reset signal is inputted from the drain of the switch transistor and is outputted simultaneously to all the photoelectric conversion circuits from the source (Page 1, lines 11-32; page 2, line 1 – page 6, line 1).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Koizumi et al. in view of AAPA as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Koizumi et al. by having a switch transistor that serves as a switch; and a capacitor that disposed between a gate and a source or a drain of the switch transistor, and wherein, when the capacitor is charged, the reset signal is inputted from the drain of the switch transistor and is outputted simultaneously to all of the photoelectric conversion circuits from the source. The motivation to do so would have been to improve the operation of the solid state imaging device by allowing to reset all the pixels simultaneously thus avoiding uneven collection of image signal that would result in unwanted noise.

**Regarding claim 20**, the combined teaching of Koizumi et al. in view of AAPA as discussed and analyzed in claim 11 teaches that the electric charge simultaneous

removal unit generates a gate signal and includes a readout signal passage switch for simultaneously outputting the readout signal to all of the photoelectric conversion circuits in response to the gate signal (although AAPA does not teach performing the simultaneous readout, the teaching of Koizumi et al. as modified with the AAPA reference would provide the electric charge simultaneous removal unit generating a gate signal and includes a readout signal passage switch that outputs simultaneously the readout signal to all the photoelectric conversion circuits in response to the gate signal AAPA, page 3, line 3 – page 4, line 2).

**Regarding claim 21**, limitations can be found in claim 11.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

10. **Claims 13-19** are allowed.
11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

**Regarding claim 13**, the main reason for indication of allowable subject matter is because the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, including all the elements of the present claim, an electric signal readout unit operable to read out the electric signals outputted from the photoelectric conversion circuits disposed in the region to be read out, wherein the electric signal readout unit includes: a first unit operable to output the activated reset signal to the each of the reset circuits in the photoelectric conversion circuits disposed in the region to be read out; and a second unit operable to output the activated readout signal to each of the reset circuits disposed in the region to be read

out after outputting the reset signal, and wherein the first unit outputs the activated reset signal after the predetermined time in the electric charge accumulation unit has passed.

**Regarding claim 17**, the main reason for indication of allowable subject matter is because the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, including all the elements of the present claim, an electric signal readout unit operable to read out the electric signals outputted from the photoelectric conversion circuits disposed in the region to be read out, wherein the electric signal readout unit includes: a first unit operable to output the activated reset signal to each of the reset circuits in the photoelectric conversion circuits disposed in the region to be read out; and a second unit operable to output the activated readout signal to each of the reset circuits disposed in the region to be read out after outputting the reset signal, and wherein the first unit outputs the activated reset signal before the predetermined time in the electric charge accumulation unit has passed.

**Regarding claim 19**, the main reason for indication of allowable subject matter is because the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, including all the elements of the present claim, an electric signal readout unit operable to read out the electric signals outputted from the photoelectric conversion circuits disposed in the region to be read out, wherein the electric signal readout unit includes: a first unit operable to output the activated reset signal to each of the reset circuits in the photoelectric conversion circuits disposed in the region to be read out; and a second unit operable to output the activated readout signal to each of the reset circuits after outputting the reset signal, and wherein the first unit outputs the activated reset signal for a period starting from a mid point of

the predetermined time until an end of the predetermined time in the electric charge accumulation unit.

***Conclusion***

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

***Contact***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson D. Hernández whose telephone number is (571) 272-7311. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571) 272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nelson D. Hernández  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2622

NDHH  
November 24, 2007



LIN YE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER